

Agenda Item#

24

BEFORE THE NEVADA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

In Re: Impound I-4613 pursuant to NRS 706.476)	
issued to Stephen Barnes)	Impound I-4613
_____)	

At a general session of the Nevada Transportation
Authority held on July 18, 2025.

PRESENT: Chairman Vaughn Hartung
Commissioner Dawn Gibbons
Commissioner Adam Teti
Deputy Commissioner David Pasternak

ORDER

On May 16, 2025, a hearing on the above-captioned matter was held before Commissioner Dawn Gibbons, serving in her capacity as Hearing Officer for the Nevada Transportation Authority (“Authority”, “NTA”). The registered owner of the impounded vehicle, Stephen Barnes (“Respondent”), appeared for the hearing, and chose to proceed without legal counsel.

After hearing the allegations, the testimony of both parties, the respective arguments, and having considered the evidence introduced by the parties present at the hearing and being fully advised, the Hearing Officer, pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (“NAC”) 706.4015, prepared a proposed decision for review by the Authority.

Based upon the proposed decision, the Authority makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which constitute a final order affirming the decision of the Hearing Officer. Any Finding of Fact that would be deemed a Conclusion of Law or vice versa shall be so construed. Under Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) 706.151, the Authority has legal jurisdiction and authority over this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Authority finds that there is substantial evidence in the record delivered by the Hearing Officer, which contains the legal evidence presented at the hearing, to establish each of the facts hereinafter set forth in these Findings of Facts:

1. NTA Investigator Rich Cabrera testified that he and NTA Investigator Adam Truitt were working a modified swing shift on May 13, 2025. The illegal carriers in Reno know that the NTA Investigators in Reno do not patrol after 4:00pm. Investigator Cabrera chose to drive the NTA's secondary car, which most carriers and illegal operators are not familiar with. Investigator Cabrera was driving South on Rock Blvd at the Atlantic Aviation. Investigator Cabrera noticed two black SUVs, which are commonly used for passenger transportation by both certificated carriers and individuals conducting illegal transportation. Investigator Cabrera pulled into the parking lot and noticed one of the SUVs belonged to Executive Limousine Service, who is a certificated carrier. The other SUV was a newer Cadillac Escalade with California paper plates and no other markings. Investigator Cabrera conducted surveillance, specifically watching the Cadillac Escalade. At around 6:50pm, Investigator Cabrera noticed the Respondent, Stephen Barnes, an individual known to the NTA Investigators as someone who routinely conducts illegal passenger transportation in the Reno area, drive into the parking lot. The Respondent was driving a 2021 GMC Denali with Nevada plates and a Lake Tahoe Celebrity Transportation decal on the rear side windows.
2. Investigator Cabrera watched the gate in the parking lot open up and let the Respondent and the black Cadillac Escalade with California plates in and the two SUVs drove a short distance on the tarmac to a Learjet which just finished taxiing. Investigator Cabrera saw

multiple persons, dressed in scrubs, exit the plane with medical supplies and ice chests and get into the both the Respondent's vehicle and the Black Escalade. Investigator Cabrera followed the two vehicles from the tarmac at Atlantic Aviation to their destination, Renown Regional Center, a hospital located in Nevada. Both the starting point of the trip and the ending point of the trip were located in the State of Nevada.

3. Investigator Cabrera let the medical personnel unload the medical supplies and ice chests and go into the hospital. The Respondent was helping the medical personnel bring the items into the hospital. Investigator Cabrera waited for the Respondent to exit the hospital. At this point Investigator Cabrera told the Respondent the pre-arranged trip and the advertising was illegal without a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. Investigator Cabrera said the Respondent told him the medical personnel were the same as pilots and stewardesses and he could transport them around. Investigator Cabrera informed the Respondent his vehicle was being impounded pursuant to NRS 706.476 and the Respondent was being cited for violations of NRS 706.386 and NRS 706.758.
4. Mr. Barnes cross examined Investigator Cabrera. Mr. Barnes asked Investigator Cabrera why he used the word stewardess in his report as he would never use that word. The Respondent asked Investigator Cabrera if he was able to transport airline personnel in airline clothing to a restaurant and if he could take airline personnel to the hospital. Investigator Cabrera stated yes, however the medical personnel were not airline personnel. The Respondent asked Investigator Cabrera if he was wearing a recording device. He stated he was not.
5. Investigator Truitt testified that there were two pilots, who piloted the Learjet, in pilots' uniforms, who exited the plane, got into a completely separate vehicle. They did not enter

the Respondent's vehicle or the Black Escalade. Investigator Truitt testified the two pilots were the only flight personnel on the plane.

6. Senior Deputy Attorney General ("DAG"), Louis Csoka, asked Investigator Cabrera if he prepared an investigative report in connection with this investigation. Investigator Cabrera stated he did. The DAG asked the Investigative Report to be admitted into evidence. The Hearing Officer admitted the report as State's Exhibit #1.
7. The Respondent spoke on his behalf. He stated this was a pre-arranged trip of flight personnel and he could transport them. He stated he was federally licensed and did not need a CPCN to conduct and complete this ride. The Respondent stated the medical personnel should be treated the same as flight personnel and the transportation he provided was a continuation of the flight, such as it with flight personnel when they are transported to their hotels after their flights. He stated the medical personnel were flight medics and should be treated as such. The Respondent did not offer any evidence to support the medical personnel were flight medics or that the Learjet was outfitted as a medical transport or air ambulance.
8. The Respondent offered a packet of federal laws and ask that the packet be admitted into evidence. The Hearing Officer admitted the packet as Respondent's Exhibit #1.
9. Both the DAG and the Respondent were offered an opportunity to give closing arguments. The DAG went first. Mr. Csoka argued this was point to point transportation and was illegal without a CPCN. The medical personnel were not the same as flight personnel and there was no evidence the medical personnel were part of the flight crew. They were not dressed in flight gear and did not identify they were part of the flight crew. The DAG argued the ruling by Judge Hicks in the Sierra Nevada Transportation case made this very clear.

Transporting airline personnel from the airport to their hotels, restaurant, etc, while in their airline clothes and easily identifiable as airline personnel was not intrastate transportation. This rationale did not extend to pre-planned trips for individuals who are not airline personnel and they could not use this holding to argue a pre-arranged trip, from an airport, for individuals who are not flight personnel, who are going point to point in the state of Nevada is not intrastate transportation. Judge Hicks' ruling held that the trip just mentioned is intrastate travel and requires a CPCN. The DAG made clear the medical personnel on the Learjet were not flight crew, they used the Respondent for point-to-point transportation in the state of Nevada and the Respondent violated the law by not having a CPCN. The DAG stated the impound was proper based on the testimony of Investigator Cabrera, Investigator Truitt and the Investigative report submitted as State's Exhibit #1.

10. The Respondent gave his closing argument. The Respondent argued that Federal law governs and the transportation in this investigation is not subject to state law, but rather federal law. The Respondent argued the transportation in this case was for medical personnel who should be considered part of the flight crew and should be treated as flight crew for purposes of transportation. The Respondent argued that NTA did not have jurisdiction and the federal laws were the prevailing laws.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Hearing Officer took all evidence, testimony, and law into consideration. The Hearing Officer found that the medical personnel on board the Learjet were not flight crew. The Hearing Officer found that state law is controlling, and the transportation provided in this case was intrastate transportation and the Respondent was required to have a CPCN to complete the transportation in this investigation. The Hearing Officer concluded the holding in the Sierra

Nevada Transportation case was controlling and therefore the impound of the Respondent's vehicle, pursuant to NRS 706.486 was proper.

DISCUSSION

The DAG requested an impound fine of \$9,950. The DAG noted the Respondent had been in front of the NTA numerous times for similar violations and that was the reason for his request for the maximum impound fine.

The Hearing Officer, having fully considered the above-mentioned Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law recommends to the Authority:

1. A finding that impound I-4613 was proper based on the law and pursuant to NRS 706.476.
2. That a fine of \$9,950 be imposed for impound I-4613 pursuant to NRS 706.476.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

1. That the recommendation of the Hearing Officer that impound I-4613 was proper based on the law and pursuant to NRS 706.476 be AFFIRMED;
2. That a total fine of Nine Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$9,950) be assessed for impound I-4613 pursuant to NRS 706.476.
3. That the Authority retains jurisdiction for correcting any errors that have occurred in the drafting or issuance of this Order.

//

//

//

//

By the Authority,

Vaughn Hartung, Chairman

Dawn Gibbons, Commissioner

Adam Teti, Commissioner

Attest: _____
David Pasternak, Deputy Commissioner

Dated: _____
Las Vegas, Nevada

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 233B.130, any party to this matter aggrieved by the above final decision may file a petition for rehearing or reconsideration. A petition for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Authority within 15 days after the date the party received this Order.

NOTICE: In accordance with NAC 706.229 and NAC 706.3751 (both recently amended, see Legislative Counsel Bureau File No. R111-10), you may be disqualified from driving taxicabs (outside of Clark County) or charter limousines (statewide) if you fail to pay a fine owed to the Authority, you fail to appear for a hearing on an administrative citation, and/or you are found in violation of the provisions of NRS 706 or NAC 706 more than five times within three years.

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 706.772 and NRS 706 483.441, you may have your driver's license suspended by the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles if you fail to pay the full amount of the administrative fine and any other costs which are due to the Authority as a result of the above final Order.

Agenda Item#

26

BEFORE THE NEVADA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

In Re: Citation 25250 issued to Byron Ochoa for a violation of NRS 706.756(e).) Citation 25250
)
)
_____)

At a general session of the Nevada Transportation Authority held on July 18, 2025.

PRESENT: Chairman Vaughn Hartung
Commissioner Dawn Gibbons
Commissioner Adam Teti
Deputy Commissioner David J. Pasternak

ORDER

Under Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) 706.151, the Nevada Transportation Authority (“Authority”) has legal jurisdiction and authority over the above matter.

At the time of issuance of the above-captioned Citation, Respondent was personally served notice that the matter was set for hearing at the Las Vegas office of the Authority at 1:30 p.m. on February 2, 2025. Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, the matter was rescheduled for hearing on February 19, 2025, with notice mailed via regular mail to Respondent. Respondent failed to appear at the time and place set for hearing and failed to request a continuance of the matter.

The Hearing Officer found that proper notice was provided to the Respondent in accordance with NRS Chapters 233B and 706. In accordance with Nevada Administrative Code (“NAC”) 706.4017, Authority Staff, requested that a finding be entered against the Respondent for a violation of NRS 706.756(e) and that a fine be imposed for said violation.

Commissioner Dawn Gibbons, serving in her capacity as Hearing Officer for the Authority, considered the allegations and assertions contained in Citation 25250 and the related

Investigation Report (marked as State's Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference) and entered a finding against the Respondent for one violation of NRS 706.756(e) related to Respondent procures, aid or abets any person in the failure to obey a regulation of the Authority or Department.

Authority Staff requested that a fine be assessed in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the NRS 706.756(e) violation.

The Hearing Officer adopted the Staff's recommendation with respect to fines and remedies.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the recommendation of the Hearing Officer for Administrative Citation and Verified Complaint 25250, issued to Byron Ochoa for a violation of NRS 706.756(e), is hereby AFFIRMED;
2. That the *total* fine for Citation 25250 shall be in the amount of One Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,000.00); and

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

3. That the Authority retains jurisdiction for correcting any errors that may have occurred in the drafting or issuance of this Order.

By the Authority,

Vaughn Hartung, Chairman

Dawn Gibbons, Commissioner

Adam Teti, Commissioner

Attest: _____
David J. Pasternak, Deputy Commissioner

Dated: _____
Las Vegas, Nevada

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 233B.130, any party to this matter aggrieved by the above final decision may file a petition for rehearing or reconsideration. A petition for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Authority within 15 days after the date the party received this Order.

NOTICE: In accordance with NAC 706.229 and NAC 706.3751 (both recently amended, see Legislative Counsel Bureau File No. R111-10), you may be disqualified from driving taxicabs (outside of Clark County) or charter limousines (statewide) if you fail to pay a fine owed to the Authority, you fail to appear for a hearing on an administrative citation, and/or you are found in violation of the provisions of NRS 706 or NAC 706 more than five times within three years.

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 706.772 and NRS 706.483.441, you may have your driver's license suspended by the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles if you fail to pay the full amount of the administrative fine and any other costs which are due to the Authority as a result of the above final Order.

Agenda Item# 79

Consideration of Interim Deviation from NAC 706.191 Insurance Requirements for Taxicabs Operating Outside Clark County

Background and Purpose

Nevada's regulatory framework creates a disparity in insurance requirements for taxicab operators depending on their geographic location. Taxicabs operating in Clark County under the authority of the Taxicab Authority (TA) are subject to NRS 706.8828, which allows lower liability insurance coverage compared to taxicabs operating in the other 16 counties. Those outside of Clark County fall under the jurisdiction of the Nevada Transportation Authority (NTA) and are governed by NAC 706.191, which sets higher liability insurance thresholds.

This agenda item proposes the **adoption of an interim deviation** from NAC 706.191, temporarily aligning insurance requirements for NTA-regulated taxicab operators outside Clark County with the lower statutory limits established for Clark County taxicabs.

The deviation could be granted **pursuant to NAC 706.1305**, which allows the Authority to grant relief from regulatory provisions upon a showing of **good cause** and a determination that the action is **not contrary to the public interest**.

Insurance Requirement Disparity

Category	Clark County NRS 706.8828	Other Counties NAC 706.191
Bodily Injury – 1 Person	\$100,000	\$250,000
Bodily Injury – 2+ People	\$300,000	\$500,000
Property Damage	\$10,000	\$50,000

Operators in Clark County are permitted to carry significantly lower insurance limits, even though they operate in the state's highest-traffic, highest-risk region. Conversely, taxicab operators in all other Counties must maintain substantially higher coverage, despite operating in regions with lower traffic volumes, trip density, and accident exposure.

Legal and Regulatory Justification

1. NAC 706.1305 – Deviation from Regulation

NAC 706.1305 authorizes the Authority to grant a deviation from any provision of NAC Chapter 706 upon a showing of:

- **Good cause**, and
- A determination that the deviation is **not contrary to the public interest**.

2. NRS 706.305(1) – Statutory Cap on Insurance Limits

NRS 706.305(1) establishes that the Authority’s insurance regulations:

“**Must not exceed a total of...**” (for personal injury and property damage coverage)

This language provides the Authority with **discretion** to approve lower limits when appropriate, rather than prescribing fixed minimum thresholds.

Policy Rationale

Good Cause Exists

- **Northern Taxi operators face disproportionate costs:** Higher insurance premiums strain Nevada’s carriers who already face limited trip demand and lower revenue.
- **Outdated risk assumptions:** The higher insurance thresholds do not reflect actual risk exposure for operators outside of Clark County.
- **Clark County precedent:** Lower limits have proven sufficient in the state’s busiest and most congested region.

Not Contrary to the Public Interest

- Public safety remains protected with insurance limits proven adequate in an urban setting.
- Reduced financial pressure will help preserve taxicab services under the NTA’s authority.
- The deviation supports regulatory fairness and operational viability.

Proposed Action

Pursuant to **NAC 706.1305**, the Nevada Transportation Authority will consider individual requests for deviation from the vehicle insurance requirements as prescribed in **NAC 706.191**, upon a showing of **good cause** by the requesting certificate holder

Temporary Insurance Requirements (matching NRS 706.8828):

- \$100,000 for injury to one person
- \$300,000 for injury to two or more persons
- \$10,000 for property damage

Duration: If granted, this deviation would remain in effect until formal amendment of NAC 706.191 is completed, or until otherwise modified or rescinded by the Authority.

Compliance: Affected carriers will be required to file proof of compliant insurance policies with the NTA as usual.

NRS 706.305 Operators of taxicabs: Liability insurance required to be provided; amount of coverage; regulations. The Authority shall adopt regulations requiring all operators of taxicabs subject to its regulation and supervision to maintain a contract of insurance against liability for injury to persons and damage to property for each taxicab. The amounts of coverage required by the regulations:

1. Must not exceed a total of:
 - (a) For bodily injury to or the death of one person in any one crash, \$250,000;
 - (b) Subject to the limitations of paragraph (a), for bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one crash, \$500,000; and
 - (c) For injury to or destruction of property in any one crash, \$50,000; or
 2. Must not exceed a combined single-limit for bodily injury to one or more persons and for injury to or destruction of property in any one crash, \$500,000.
- (Added to NRS by [1989, 1784](#); A [2015, 1701](#))

NAC 706.191 Insurance. ([NRS 706.171](#), [706.291](#), [706.303](#))

1. All common and contract carriers shall maintain a contract of insurance against liability for injury to persons and damage to property in the following minimum amounts:
 - (a) Carriers authorized to transport persons only or persons and property:

	Limit for bodily injuries to or death of one person	Limit for bodily injuries to or death of all persons injured or killed in any one crash	Limit for loss or damage in any one crash to property of others, excluding cargo
Horse-drawn vehicles and taxicabs	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$50,000
7 passengers or less, including the driver, other than a taxicab	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000
8 to 15 passengers, inclusive, including the driver	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000
16 passengers or more, including the driver	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Freight only	750,000	750,000	750,000

NRS 706.8828 Insurance.

1. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 4, a certificate holder shall file with the Administrator, and keep in effect at all times, a policy of insurance with an insurance company licensed to do business in the State of Nevada.

2. The insurance policy specified in subsection 1 must:

(a) Provide the following coverage:

For injury to one person in any one crash..... \$100,000
For injury to two or more persons in any one crash..... 300,000
For property damage in any one crash..... 10,000

(b) Contain a clause which states substantially that the insurance carrier may only cancel the policy upon 30 days' written notice to the certificate holder and Administrator; and

(c) Contain such other provisions concerning notice as may be required by law to be given to the certificate holder.

3. If an insurance policy is cancelled, the certificate holder shall not operate or cause to be operated any taxicab that was covered by the policy until other insurance is furnished.

4. A certificate holder to whom the Department of Motor Vehicles has issued a certificate of self-insurance may self-insure the coverage required by subsection 2.

(Added to NRS by [1969, 1242](#); A [1977, 609](#); [1979, 1096](#); [1985, 2002](#); [1999, 3594](#); [2001, 2639](#); [2003, 1203](#); [2015, 1707](#))

Agenda Item#

98

BEFORE THE NEVADA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

In Re: The Joint Application of Vegas VIP)
Transportation, LLC d/b/a Vegas VIP Limousine to)
sell and transfer and Mundi Limos Vegas, LLC) Docket 23-10003
d/b/a Mundi Limousine to purchase and acquire the)
authority granted under CPCN 1097.)
_____)

At a general session of the Nevada Transportation
Authority held on July 18, 2025.

PRESENT: Chairman Vaughn Hartung
Commissioner Dawn Gibbons
Commissioner Adam Teti
Deputy Commissioner David Pasternak

COMPLIANCE ORDER

1. The Nevada Transportation Authority ("Authority") makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:
2. That on October 4, 2023, Vegas VIP Transportation, LLC d/b/a Vegas VIP Limousine ("VIP"/"Transferor") and Mundi Limos Vegas, LLC d/b/a Mundi Limousine ("Mundi"/"Transferee")/("Applicants") filed a Joint Application for VIP to sell and transfer and Mundi to purchase and acquire the charter limousine service authority granted under CPCN 1095.1. Said Joint Application was designated as docket 23-10003.
3. That the Application was properly noticed to the public and there were no Petitions for Leave to Intervene or Protests filed.
4. That Staff requested the hearing on the Application be dispensed pursuant to NRS 706.6411 paragraph 3. Commissioner Dawn Gibbons, serving in her capacity as the Presiding Officer for the Authority, granted the request.
5. That the Transferor was authorized as follows:

Provide charter limousine service to passengers and their baggage, on-call, over irregular routes between points and places in the State of Nevada.

RESTRICTION:

The number of limousines in the carrier's fleet shall be no more than six (6) vehicles.

6. That Authority Staff reported the following:
 - a. Chris Greten, Compliance Audit Investigator, investigated the Transferee-Applicant's background and supported approval of the Application.
 - b. Yvonne Shelton, Financial Analyst, inspected the Transferee-Applicant's financial information and supported approval of the Application.
 - c. Liz Babcock, Application Manager, reported no overall concerns and supported approval of the Application.
7. Based upon all the records pertaining to the Application, and pursuant to NRS 706.6411:
 - a. The Authority has considered the service which has been performed by the Transferor-Applicant and that which may be performed by the transferee;
 - b. The Authority has considered the other authorized facilities for transportation in the territory for which the transfer is sought;
 - c. The Transferee-Applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the services of a common motor carrier by vehicle, and the proposed operation would be consistent with the legislative policy set forth in NRS 706.151; and
 - d. The public interest requires no additional amendments, restrictions or modifications be attached to the Certificate.

Therefore, based upon the foregoing findings, it is ORDERED that:

1. The Application on file herein shall be GRANTED for the transportation service specified below:

Provide charter limousine service to passengers and their baggage, on-call, over irregular routes between points and places in the State of Nevada.

RESTRICTION:

The number of limousines in the carrier's fleet shall be no more than six (6) vehicles.

2. Upon full compliance with the conditions of this Compliance Order, the certificate

identified as CPCN 1095 Sub 1 shall be CANCELLED, and a new Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to be designated as CPCN 1095 Sub 2 shall be issued to Mundi Limos Vegas, LLC d/b/a Mundi Limousine authorizing operations in intrastate commerce as is more particularly described in the first ordering paragraph above.

3. Before the issuance of said Certificate referred to hereinabove, Transferee-Applicant shall be required to:
- a. Avoid material changes in any conditions relied upon by the Authority in its determination of operational or financial fitness and immediately report to Authority Staff any such material changes, should they occur.
 - b. File with the Authority evidence of required insurance (Form E) in the Applicant's name.
 - c. File with the Authority the necessary insurance (Certificate of Insurance and Schedule of Autos) describing the liability limits and vehicle(s) covered.
 - d. Make vehicles available for inspection by Authority Staff to ensure that they have been marked in accordance with NAC 706.170 including the carrier's name/logo and CPCN number. Provide copies of insurance cab card, annual (USDOT) vehicle inspections, titles, and registrations.
 - e. Ensure driver qualification files are set up in accordance with CFR391.51. Explain and make available set-up of time tracking method put into place. Ensure all drivers have pre-employment drug testing in accordance with Part 40 and CFR 382.30. Make available for inspection by Authority Staff the business and vehicle domiciles.
 - f. Ensure all drivers have applied for temporary driver permits.
 - g. Ensure vehicle maintenance files are setup in accordance with CFR 396.
 - h. Provide a copy of a charter order with the CPCN number granted and with complaint/commendation language, in accordance with NACs which include the CPCN number granted.
 - i. Apply for NTA decals.
 - j. Provide a copy of the contract between Applicant and the laboratory that will perform and monitor the substance abuse program. If the owner will also operate as a driver, the contract must require the laboratory to provide results of positive

drug/alcohol tests directly to the Authority.

- k. Provide signed affidavit acknowledging requirements of NTA Annual Report pursuant to NRS 706.167.
 - l. Provide copy of business license.
 - m. Provide a printout of the general ledger accounts, balance sheet and profit/loss statement for setup of the company for review and acceptance of NTA Staff.
 - n. Provide an acknowledgement from the seller that the seller supports moving forward with the issuance of the CPCN to the buyer.
 - o. File a tariff that includes a description of the Authority granted, CPCN number, and the name and address of the Transferee-Applicant. Remit to the Authority any noticing fees and/or other outstanding debt due to the Authority.
4. Compliance with the foregoing requirements must be made NO LATER THAN ONE (1) YEAR after issuance of this Order. If the Transferee-Applicant fails to comply within one (1) year after issuance of this Order, the Deputy Commissioner may vacate this Order and dismiss this Application.
5. The Deputy Commissioner of the Authority shall be authorized to issue the above-referenced Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity upon all requirements set forth herein having been met by the Transferee-Applicant.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

6. The Authority retains jurisdiction for the purpose of correcting any errors, which may have occurred in the drafting or issuance of this Order.

By the Authority,

Vaughn Hartung, Chairman

Dawn Gibbons, Commissioner

Adam Teti, Commissioner

Attest: _____
David Pasternak, Deputy Commissioner

Dated: _____
Las Vegas, Nevada